Pollution Prevention Plan

Facility Name: Alaskan Copper Works

Industry Type: Fabricated Pipe and Pipe Fitting Manufacturing

NAIC Code: 332996

EPA ID# or CRK#: WAD980738546

Base Year: 2006

Description of Products and Services

Full service center and manufacturer of corrostion-resistant alloy products.

Production Level

Units	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Lbs of material processed	29,210,133	31,230,000	10,421,732	5,309,242	0	0
Ratio	1.00	1.07	0.36	0.18	0.00	0.00

Previous Accomplishments

Metal Fab Processing

2007-2009

Chrome slag toxicity reduction: Chrome slag generated from plasma table cutting processing was subjected to an on-site pilot test program started in mid 2007 with actual on site hazardous characteristics reduction treatment occurring in early 2008 resulting in 100% chrome slag toxicity reduction. For the calendar year of 2008, dangerous waste reduction occurred under treatment by generator provisions but still had to be reported due to treatment outside of process and lack of local recycling disposition outlets. For 2009, treatment was conducted within the process so that no dangerous waste generation occurred from this process.

Pipe Painting 2002-2009

Opportunity originally identified in 2001 by using smaller amounts of solvents to clean parts; only using what is needed subject to fluctuating annual production demand. An estimated 61% total solvent use reduction established at end of 2002. Currently only small amounts of solvent in the form of spray marking paints, dyes, and parts cleaning solvents are purchased and use as needed keeping hazardous materials inventory to a minimum. All used solvents and spray cans are dispensed, containerized and added for off-site solvent fuels program.

Materials account/management

2002-2009

Initially started in 2002, material purchasing tracking by accounting helps to account for all material purchased and combined with the annual waste report provides a complete use and disposal tracking mechanism to view overall hazardous material control throughout all portions of manufacturing.

Pollution Prevention Training

-- New employee orientation training is provided to employees emphasizing the company environmental policy, hazardous materials and waste management procedures, pollution prevention techniques and goals, and emergency response training.

- -- Annual employee refresher training highlighting proper hazardous materials handling, use and storage, waste reduction assessment techniques, proper waste management procedures and related subjects, pollution prevention principles, and company environmental policies and management systems.
- -- Waste management issues are addressed during safety meetings.
- -- Open-door policy regarding safety and environmental concerns to their supervisors, who in turn bring these concerns to the Operations Manager for review and implementation.
- -- Promotion of employee involvement in environmentally friendly practices.
- -- Contracting outside environmental management firm to assist in polution prevention planning and provide new technologies for waste reduction or product substituation.
- -- Beginning in 2008, additional stormwater management training has been incorporated into the new hire and annual refresher training program.

Employee Involvement

Operations Manager (James Brown): In charge of overall P2 Plan; coordinates management policies, project support, technical and economic evaluations; implements pollution prevention in all areas of the facility; ensures design modifications are made to reduce pollution impact.

Plan Contact: James Brown, Operations Manager; Michael Rosen (Environmental) & Jerry Thompson (Environmental)

Cost Accounting

Currently, environmental costs are placed into the category of overhead. Our accounting system has been identified as an opportunity to track costs more easily and will be assessed in the near future.

Five-Year Numeric Performance Goals

Goals for the 5-year life of this plan.	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Hazardous Substance Use Reduction (lbs)	100	100	100	1,000	nga ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang
Hazardous Waste Reduction (lbs)	25,000	26,000	32,000	28,000	
Hazardous Waste Recycling (lbs)	25,000	26,000	32,000	2,000	
On-site Hazardous Waste Treatment (lbs)	25,000	26,000	32,000	24,000	
Wastewater Reduction (gal)	Der Service Control of				- Selection of the Annual Control occurries burnaceasts or terms from steen
Energy Conservation (kWh)					Territorio de la companio del companio de la companio del companio de la companio della companio de la companio della companio
Cost Savings (\$)					
Air Emissions Reduction (lbs)					
Solid Waste Reduction (lbs)	mener service de l'imperior de la distribution de la material de la contraction de l'imperior de la distribution de l'imperior de la contraction de la contr			The state of the s	
CO2 Emissions Reduction (lbs)					

Non-Numeric Performance Goals

Hazardous waste reduction has been achieved by better waste dewatering processing and implementation of specific wastestream on-site treatment and process waste neutralization. As a result of new treatment technologies, hazardous waste recycling goals will adjust proportionally since generated hazardous waste will be rendered non-hazardous with resulting waste shipped for recycling or beneficial use. There should be no increase in volume of water use as a result on-site treatment and neutralization.

Management Policy

Establishing and maintaining environmental polices that promote better hazardous material and waste handling and safer workplace will continue to be a paramount priority. Since the introduction of an independent environmental consultant, we have increased our understanding and have addressed a number of hazardous material/waste management issues in the workplace and will continue to improve on our overall

environmental compliance. Our organization is committed to the purpose of this plan and hereby submits it to the Department of Ecology.

James Brown Operations Manager 9/1/2010

Processes and Opportunities

Process	Opportunity
Metal Fab Processing GoTo	and the second of the second
	Chrome slag toxicity reduction GoTo
	Enter New Opportunity Name
Pipe Painting Gets	and the second contraction of the second of
	Ship paint waste to off-site recycling facility GoTo
	Continuing employee training to conserve use of solvents GoTo
	Incorporate the use of less toxic or biodegradable paints and dyes GoTo
	Enter New Opportunity Name
Passivating Process	
	Application of spray rinse and vapor controls to reduce evaporation and nitric acid use GoTo
	Enter New Opportunity Name
Materials accounting/mgmt	
	Product tracking and accounting GoTo
	Enter New Opportunity Name
Enter New Process Name	

Process Metal Fab Processing

DESCRIPTION: Stainless steel sheet and plate products are used to produce pipe fittings. Sheets are tolled into pipe which are welded, x-rayed, inspected, passivated (explained on separate process description form), and shipped.

RESEARCH:

Magazines/journals
Conferences
Vendors
Internet searches
Industry sources
Employee suggestions

Name(s):

Which ones?

Name(s): Clean Harbors Environmental Services

Results: Who? Environmental, Compliance & Remediation, Inc (waste reduction & recycling ideas)

Who & what?

⊠Government staff

Who & which agency? Department of Ecology

Other

Product Name	Ingredients		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
27 (1000 - 2000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1	CAS#	%							
	N450 MANGANESE CMPNDS	1		23,717,		3,396,9	1,634,7		
Stainless Steel	N090 CHROMIUM CMPNDS	18		073	23,802	98	21		ē.
	N495 NICKEL CMPNDS	8							

HAZARDOUS WASTES GENERATED						
Waste (LBS)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Plasma cutting cleanout sludge	55,990	62,486	23,210	0		

TREATMENT, RECYCLING, RELEASES OR OTHER RESOURCES USED								
Resource or Release (LBS) 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 201						2011		
Treated plasma cutting cleanout sludge via TBG requirements 0 0 46,420 12,050								

Opportur	ity Chrome slag toxicity reduction	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T
		technology to reduce chrome waste toxicity prior steel cutting to reduce overall waste generation.
Targeted	Hazardous Products/Wastes: Cutting tal	ole waste slag
Observat	ions:	
Year	Observations	
2007		ench testing of chrome slag treatment into actual TCLP. Outlets for treated non-hazardous slag
2008	•	almost 2/3 of hazardous waste generated.
2009	Treated successfully and economically	
2010	and the second s	
2011		
What are	the estimated annual environmental effects o	f this opportunity? Help
September 1 Septem	us Substance Use Reduction (lbs)	Wastewater Reduction (gal) 0
	us Waste Reduction (lbs) 23,210	Energy Conservation (kWh) 0
•	g of Hazardous Waste (lbs) 0	Cost Savings (\$) 6,025
	nt of Hazardous Waste (lbs) 12,050	Air Emissions Reduction (lbs) 0
	ste Reduction (lbs) 0	CO2 Emissions Reduction (lbs) 0
Other Eff		
Feasibility Is this op		Needs Further Study 🔲 No. If no, explain why:
Will envir		not shifted? ⊠ Yes ☐ No. If no, explain any
		☐ Needs Further Study ☐ No. If no, explain
a supplement to the contract of the contract o	tation schedule: <u>Help</u>	
	cted for implementation. When? Decem	ber 2007
☐ Sche	duled for further study. When will the stu	dy be complete?
	cted. Why?	
What pro	blems will there be implementing this?	Space
was a second		
Process	Pipe Painting	
DESCRIPTION: paints, coatings,	Pipe painting occurs at various prodution and solvents.	locations requiring the use of spray
RESEARCH:		
☐Magazines/journals ☐Conferences	Name(s):	
	Which ones? Name(s): Emerald Services; Safety Kleen Results:	

☑Industry sources □Employee sugge □Government sta □Other	estions Who & what?		& Remedia	tion, Inc. (w	aste reduct	ion & recyc	ling)		
HAZARDOUS	SUBSTANCES USED (LBS	6)							1
Product Name	Ingredients	<i></i>	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	1
	CAS#	%							
Paint and solvent mixtures	1330-20-7	10	2,350	2,450	2,060	1,588			
HAZARDOUS	WASTES GENERATED				····· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1
	Waste (LBS)		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	1
	Waste paint / thinners		155	242	991	741			
TREATMENT	, RECYCLING, RELEASES	OR OTH	IED DES	CURCE	SHSEL				7
TINEATHLEAT	Resource or Release (LBS)	<u>OK OII</u>	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Off-sit	te recycling of paint waste thinners		155	242	131	741			1
consti emplo availa Targe	Paint and related solvents for cleaning are used sparingly and found most effective for the specific application. No new products have been identified that are superior substitutions and conservation seems to be the best form of reduction. 2008 Substitution found for spray paint with soy-based or less toxic inks and dyes. Continue to identify substitutes for some paint/thinner products. Also making a conscientious effort to utilize paints and solvents only when necessary.							s by	
2010									

Is this or why:	pportunity economically feasible? 🛛 Ye	s 🗌 Needs Further Study 🔲 No. If no, explain
Implemer Sele Sche		tudy be complete? Maintining cost-effecive means through off-site
-	nity. Continuing employee training to conse	of small amounts of recyclable paints and solvents.
Describe and pair	e the opportunity: Reinforcement of sm	nart hazardous material handling and usage (thinners ng and safety briefings to promote further
Targeted	d Hazardous Products/Wastes: Waste s	olvents
Observa	ations:	
Year 2007 2008	Observations	
2009		off increase awareness of ongoing safety, offorts via scheduled training and safety briefing
2010 2011		
Hazardo Hazardo Recyclin Treatme	the estimated annual environmental effects bus Substance Use Reduction (lbs) bus Waste Reduction (lbs) ag of Hazardous Waste (lbs) ent of Hazardous Waste (lbs) aste Reduction (lbs) ffects	wastewater Reduction (gal) Energy Conservation (kWh) Cost Savings (\$) Air Emissions Reduction (lbs) CO2 Emissions Reduction (lbs)
Feasibilit		☐ Needs Further Study ☐ No. If no, explain why:
shifting (of risks:	nd not shifted? ⊠ Yes □ No. If no, explain any s □ Needs Further Study □ No. If no, explain
Sele		

Opportunity Incorporate the use of less toxic or biodegradable paints and dyes (Classification)

	be the opportunity: Evalu of less toxic or biodegrade			ossibiliti	es to en	able and	l implem	ent the
Target	ed Hazardous Products/W	astes: Waste pain	t					
Obsen	vations:							
Year 2007 2008	Observations	THE AMERICAN CHIEFER AND			2000 (SS-04455) 200 (SS-0455) 1988		e dela della d	
2009	Starting to implement where feasible. Produ							
2010 2011								
Hazard Hazard Recycl Treatn Solid V Other Feasibi Is this Will en shifting Is this	re the estimated annual envir dous Substance Use Redu dous Waste Reduction (Ibs ling of Hazardous Waste (I hent of Hazardous Waste (Waste Reduction (Ibs) Effects ility: Help opportunity technically feat environmental or health risks g of risks: opportunity economically for	ction (lbs) ;) bs) lbs) sible? Yes	Was Ene Cos Air I CO: Needs Fi	stewater rgy Control Saving Emission 2 Emission urther St	Reductions servations (\$) as Reductions Redu	n (kWh) etion (lbs uction (ll No. If no,	o, explai explain	any
⊠ Se □ Sc □ Re What p	nentation schedule: Help selected for implementation. cheduled for further study. ejected. Why? croblems will there be implemented dyes. Passivating Process	When will the stud	ly be con	nplete?	ge from	using tric	ed-and-i	true
								ĺ
ammonium bi	N: Stainless steel pipes an fluoride solution for cleanin					d 1-3%		
RESEARCH: Magazines/journ Conferences Vendors Internet searche Industry sources Employee sugge Government star	Which ones? Name(s): Clean Halls Results: Who? Environment estions Who & what? Jame	rbors Environmental Sen al Compliance & Remedi is Brown, Operations Mai sy?	ation, Inc.	on industry	experience	١.		
HAZARDOUS	SUBSTANCES USED (LI	BS)]
Product Name	Ingredients	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Nitric Acid	CAS #	6,000	1,500	1,000	1,800			
**********	7697-37-2	66	.1	L	<u> </u>	t.,	L	

	NITRIC ACID									
lydrofluoric	CAS#	%					****			
Acid	7664-39-3 HYDROGEN FLUORIDE	49		200	50	<50	<50			
ZARDOUS	S WASTES GENERATE	D							130	1
	Waste (LBS)			2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	1
	Nitric Acid Mixture			34,589	0	0	0			
	Tank Bottom Sludge			5,280	0	0	0			
٦	ank Bottom Treatment Sludge			16,984	12,487	13,423	7,102			
REATMENT	, RECYCLING, RELEA	SES O	R OTH	IER RES	OURCE	S USE)			1
	Resource or Release (LBS)			2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	l.
Off-sit	e recovery or reclamation for I	euse		0	0	0	7,102	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	ibe the opportunity: Co			waste o	verspray	and ev	aporatio	n control	s and s	oillage
	ted Hazardous Products			ric acid s	olutions					
Obser	vations:									
Year	Observations								14.35c.736.526666156	
2007	Hazardous waste to volumes due to rec				harge ha	as seen :	significa	nt reduct	ion in di	schar
2008	Marginal increase 2008.									
2009	Significant decreas	likely d	licharg	e entire						
2010 2011	recharge with fresl	ı cnem	icai so	iutions.						
			82101688687A 1 7888		540 \$10 Mindle, 41 Middle	CONTRACTOR AND		and : more chal-	K. SPECK SERVER	Bascuri riskopiski
	are the estimated annual e							(1)		
	dous Substance Use Redous Waste Reduction		. ,	+800		stewater ergy Con				
	cling of Hazardous Wast					st Saving		11 (KVVII)		
	ment of Hazardous Was			•		Emissio		ction (lbs	a)	
	Waste Reduction (lbs)	te (IDS)	7,102	-		2 Emissi				
	Effects				-			14011011 (1	00)	
Feasib	ility: <u>Help</u> opportunity technically	feasible	e? ⊠ '	Yes 🗍	Veeds F	urther S	tudy 🔲	No. If n	o, expla	in wh
	nvironmental or health rigg of risks:	sks be	reduc	ed and n	ot shifte	d?⊠Yo	es 🗌 N	o. If no,	explain	any
	opportunity economical	lly feasi	ible?	Yes [Needs	s Further	Study	□ No. I	f no, exp	plain
Implen	nentation schedule: Help	1 41-								100
⊠ se	elected for implementation	on. Wh					oner Consultation Consultation		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	

Rejected. Why? What problems will there be implementing this?

っていていている。	Materials accounting/mgmt
10年 ビルト・プート 一直に対象の情報を必要を表現	
Committee and and the second section of the section of	

DESCRIPTION: Unscheduled accumulations of lab wastes and miscellaneous regulated materials.

RESEARCH:

☐Magazines/journals

Name(s):

☐ Conferences

Which ones? Name(s): Clean Harbors Environmental Services

☐Internet searches

Results:

☐Industry sources

Who?

☐Employee suggestions

Who & what? Who & which agency?

☐Government staff Other

Explain:

HAZARDOUS	S SUBSTANCES USED (L	BS)						
Product Name	Ingredients		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	CAS#	%				Was do '		
		0				. AM ACAV		

HAZARDOUS WASTES GENERATED						
Waste (LBS)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
PCB Ballasts	2,000	0	0	8		
Cleaning Compounds	0	0	468	0		
Lab Packs and Non Paint Aresol Spray Cans	5	7	15	0		
Waste Combustible Liquid, Diesel	0	0	0	1,150		0.000
Saw coolant waste	0	0	0	468		
Oil, Diesel, Water Mixture	0	0	0	255		
Grease with metal grindings	0	0	0	7		
Waste Corrosive Liquid, Acidic, Inorganic	0	0	0	5		

TREATMENT, RECYCLING, RELEASES OR OTH	IER RES	SOURCE	S USE)		
Resource or Release (LBS)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Used: Various (cleaning compounds)			468	0		
Off-site incineration/thermal destruction of Waste Combustible Liquid, Diesel	0	0	0	1,150		
Fuel blending prior to off-site energy recovery of Saw coolant waste and Oil, Diesel, Water Mixture	0	0	0	723		

Opportunity Product tracking and accounting

Describe the opportunity: Identification of smaller waste generation sources and quantifying collection of smaller waste quantities for treatment, consolidation, or waste disposal.

Targeted Hazardous Products/Wastes: Non-production waste and small chemical useage

Observations:

Year

Observations

2007

Purchasing and ordering less hazardous materials in aerosol form as well as substituting

less toxic materials for more hazardous ones.

2008

Began tracking of miscellaneous waste material generation.

2009

Continue to track miscellaneous waste generation. Identifying waste minimization measures for smaller wastestreams as well.

2010

	What are the estimated annual environmental effects of	f this opportunity? Help	
	Hazardous Substance Use Reduction (lbs) 0	Wastewater Reduction (gal) 0	II Patrice and Company
	Hazardous Waste Reduction (lbs) +1,402	Energy Conservation (kWh) 0	
	Recycling of Hazardous Waste (lbs) 0	Cost Savings (\$) 0	
	Treatment of Hazardous Waste (lbs) 1,873	Air Emissions Reduction (lbs) 0	
	Solid Waste Reduction (lbs) 0	CO2 Emissions Reduction (lbs) 0	
	Other Effects: Materials processed data should be		
	easier measure and quantify reporting and		
	accounting purposes.		
	Feasibility: Help	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
	Is this opportunity technically feasible? ⊠ Yes □	Needs Further Study 🔲 No. If no, explain wh	ıy:
	Will environmental or health risks be reduced and shifting of risks: Is this opportunity economically feasible? Yes why:		
	Implementation schedule: Help Selected for implementation. When? Continu Scheduled for further study. When will the stu Rejected. Why? What problems will there be implementing this? V assist in tracking waste generation costs from vari	idy be complete? Will be hiring an outside environmental consultar	nt to
For O	official Use Only:		
For O	P3ID:		
For O			
For O	P3ID:		